METHOD AND APPARATUS FOR MOTION ESTIMATION IN IMAGE-SEQUENCES WITH EFFICIENT CONTENT-BASED SMOOTHNESS CONSTRAINT

5

BACKGROUND OF THE INVENTION

Field of the Invention

The invention relates to the image processing of motion picture and video sequences for various purposes

10   including improving image quality and compression of image sequence (e.g., video) data signals.

Background

The invention provides enhancements to the process

15   of estimating motion in image-sequences such as those that originate from motion pictures or television video. The invention is applicable to any source of image-sequences.

Motion in image-sequences is analyzed for various reasons. For example, it is a component of various methods

20   for image-sequence (e.g., video) quality enhancement, generation of interpolated frames between the frames of an image-sequence, image-sequence compression, removal of noise present in image-sequences, and more. For example, motion

estimation can be used to improve images because it allows images of different frames to be averaged. Averaging reduces noise because images of the same subject taken over and over, if averaged, produces a higher quality representation of the

5   subject than any of the original images. In image-sequences, such as video, successive frames are often very similar except for the fact that parts of the image are displaced relative to their positions in other frames. For example, a truck drives by and each frame shows the truck in a slightly

10   different position. Even though the frames are different, by compensating for the motion it is possible to average the displaced parts of their images.

Generating frames between existing frames, for example for frame rate conversion, obviously requires motion

15   estimation, since, if something in an image moves from one position to another in successive frames, it should only move a fraction of the same distance and direction in the intervening frames.

Motion estimation may be applied to portions of the

20   image frames making up an image-sequence. That is, the frames may be cut up into the same number and shape of parts, say squares, and the movement of each part detected from frame to frame. In the truck example above, the portion

might be a square block from the side of the truck with some

parts of the owner's logo. The motion estimation process,

running on a computer, searches in a neighborhood of the part

of the next (or previous) frame for a block that is closest

5   to it (i.e., contains the same parts of the logo as the

previous or successive frame). Assuming the truck was moving

gradually and not too fast, the corresponding block in the

second frame would be expected to be found in the

neighborhood of the same location as the block in the first

10 frame. In the illustrative example above the blocks are

chosen to be square, but they could have any shapes, which

could also be variegated.

If one considers the source of motion in image-

sequences, for example the physical movement of various

15 subjects relative to a camera (or its equivalent, for example

in animations), it is obvious that motion in image-sequences

can be described as the movement of various blobs of color

and light on the screen. Further consideration should make

it clear that the whole assumption that blobs simply move

20 around is imperfect because they also rotate, shrink (e.g.,

when an object is gradually hidden), disappear (e.g., scene

breaks), etc., but it is not necessary to consider where

motion estimation fails for purposes of understanding the

invention. If the motion estimation fails for certain parts of an image or certain image-sequences, the motion information may simply be ignored and not used for its intended purposes. For example, if the goal is quality

5   enhancement, the relevant portions may be skipped over and the images left untreated or treated in some way that does not require motion estimation.

As the various blobs in an image-sequence may have different shapes and may move in different directions and

10 speeds, a square block that contains a portion of different blobs that are moving differently is not susceptible to straightforward motion interpretation. Motion estimation is unambiguously successful when a block in a first frame substantially matches (looks like) a block in a second image-

15 sequence. The process used to discover how a block has moved is responsive to whether a block in the second image frame matches the block in the first image. If there isn't a good match, then the motion estimation may be invalid. The estimation of how well blocks in adjacent images match is

20 called "correspondence" and the requirement that the match reach some level of goodness is called the "correspondence constraint."

There is another constraint involved in estimating

motion of blocks. This constraint stems from the fact that

it is believed that the motions of the blobs determined

purely by block matching are not as smooth as they should be.

5   Thus, if only block-matching were used to predict motion, the

resulting motion prediction would be overly responsive to

noise, changes in illumination, complex motion of numerous

small objects like tree foliage, etc. and therefore fail to

reflect what would normally be considered the natural motion

10   desired. To improve the motion estimations for the blocks,

assuming typical moving blobs are bigger than the block size,

one may look to adjacent blocks under the assumption that the

blocks of which moving blobs are made move in unison. Thus,

in estimating motion, the displacements of neighboring blocks

15   are taken into account so that neighboring blocks tend to

move in unison.

The assumption that neighboring blocks move in

unison is called a "smoothness constraint." To enforce the

smoothness constraint, the process of calculation of

20   displacement estimates is implemented such that displacement

estimates are urged toward the same values for neighboring

regions. To accomplish this, one may think of calculating a

single "energy" value that depends on two factors: (a) how

well all the displaced regions match corresponding regions on

the second frame (correspondence) and (b) how well the region

displacements match those of their respective neighbors

(smoothness). The energy value would be large when either

5   the correspondence or smoothness constraint is poorly

satisfied and small when they are well satisfied. The

optimization amounts to calculating all the displacement

vectors such as to minimize this combined energy value. This

optimization process can be accomplished by various

10   computational techniques that are known in the art.

It should be obvious that the smoothness constraint

is not applicable for all blocks because, just as blocks

belonging to differently-moving blobs do not fit the

correspondence constraint, neighboring blocks belonging to

15   differently-moving blobs do not fit the smoothness

constraint. In the prior art, there are various ways in

which the smoothness constraint can be relaxed, or permitted

to be broken, to allow for situations where neighboring

blocks belong to different blobs. For example, the

20   constraint between blocks may be broken when the blocks are

apparently from different blobs. This can be done by

analyzing the image content to identify features that

indicate when neighboring blocks belong to different blobs.

One image processing technique detects edges (abrupt changes in color and/or luminance that lie along a line) under the assumption that the edge defines a boundary between different blobs. When edges are found between blocks, the smoothness

5   constraint between those blocks is relaxed, or allowed to be broken. The assumption underlying the edge-detection approach is not always valid, but it can lead to improvements.

There are other quite sophisticated computational

10   tricks for adjusting the smoothness constraint so that it is enforced only where applicable. The more sophisticated of these techniques may involve a process called segmentation, which identifies separate blobs. These techniques in turn use motion estimation, so the process is iterative and,

15   therefore, takes a great deal of time on a computer. As a result, there is a need in the art for techniques for modifying the smoothness constraint that are not computationally intensive and produce good results.

20   Summary of Prior Art

To put the above discussion in more precise technical terms, the goal of 2D motion estimation is to determine how different parts of each image in an image-

sequence move from frame to frame. The result is usually

described by an array of two-dimensional displacement vectors

$d(r)$, indicating how a region (e.g., block) r in a current

image frame has moved to $r + d(r)$ in a following or previous

5   image frame. For purposes of this discussion, a current

image frame may be referred to as a "reference frame" and a

temporally neighboring frame as a "target frame."

Displacement vectors are defined in sites $r \in \mathscr{R}$,

the finite set $\mathscr{R}$ is a subset of all possible region

10   positions. Practical methods for motion estimation are based

on the combination of the two constraints: The correspondence

constraint and the smoothness constraint. The correspondence

constraint insures that a region r of a reference image is

reasonably well mapped to a region $r + d(r)$ in a target

15   frame. In other words, region $r + d(r)$ in target frame

should have image properties like texture, luminance, and/or

color close to those of the region r in the reference frame.

The details of how the correspondence constraint is designed

and enforced are not relevant to an understanding of the

20   invention and will not be described further.

The smoothness constraint is based on the

assumption that neighboring parts of an image region r

frequently move together; that is, they are all described by

similar motion vectors $d(r)$. A simple form of smoothness

constraint may be described by an energy function, which does

not depend explicitly on image content:

5

$$(1) \quad E_s = \sum_{r0 \in \Re} \sum_{r1 \in \aleph(r0)} f_s(|d(r0) - d(r1)|),$$

where, $\aleph(r)$ is the spatial neighborhood of site r, and

function f_s is a suitable (preferably, monotonic) function

10 that approaches a minimum when its argument decreases to

zero. To implement the smoothness constraint, the values for

the displacement vectors $d(r)$, $r \in \Re$, that correspond to

the lowest possible value of E_s are found by any suitable

computational technique.

15   A disadvantage of the above smoothness constraint

is that it encourages smoothness of displacement vectors that

may belong to different blobs undergoing different motions.

The various prior art methods developed to break the

smoothness constraint between objects are variously based on

20 adding some image-content dependent factors to the function

f_s. To formulate a good smoothness constraint, the image

needs to be segmented. Robust image segmentation should, in

turn, use motion estimation. This can lead to complex

computation-intensive recursive processes. Simpler methods

break image constraint on "edges", defined as connected sites

of local maxima of the image gradient. This approach

5   requires choosing threshold values that differ for different

image-sequences.

The invention will be described in connection with

certain preferred embodiments, so that it may be more fully

understood. The particulars shown are by way of example and

10   for purposes of illustrative discussion of the preferred

embodiments of the present invention only, and are presented

in the cause of providing what is believed to be the most

useful and readily understood description of the principles

and conceptual aspects of the invention. In this regard, no

15   attempt is made to show structural details of the invention

in more detail than is necessary for a fundamental

understanding of the invention, the description making

apparent to those skilled in the art how the several forms of

the invention may be embodied in practice.

20

SUMMARY OF THE INVENTION

Briefly, motion estimation employs a smoothness

constraint which is strengthened for reference regions

characterized by an image property that is close to that of neighboring regions. Preferably, the image property should be a normalized figure to account for inherent variability distributed over the region.

5   In prior art methods of smoothing the displacement vector field, the smoothness constraint is relaxed, or allowed to be broken, based on image content. The proposed methods, however, have proven very complex. According to the invention, a new form of smoothness constraint, which has low

10 computational complexity is employed. To describe the method simply, a value that defines how well all the displacement vectors satisfy both the smoothness constraint and the correspondence constraint takes into account an average property, such as color, of neighboring regions. The

15 displacements that are calculated for neighboring regions differing greatly in the average property from a given region contribute little to the calculated smoothness quality of the displacement vector field estimate. In contrast, displacements that are calculated for neighboring regions

20 that differ little in the average image property, from the given region, contribute greatly to the calculated smoothness quality of the displacement field estimate.

DETAILED DESCRIPTION OF THE PREFERRED EMBODIMENTS

According to an embodiment, the image property used for the above method is an average color of the region. The problem of calculating a field of displacement vectors that satisfies both correspondence and smoothness constraints may be expressed in the following way: Find a set of displacement vectors $d(r)$ that minimizes a combination (e.g. a linear combination) of correspondence energy E_c and smoothness energy E_s:

(2) $\min(\{d(r)\},\ r \in \mathfrak{R})\ (E_c + p * E_s),$

where p is a heuristic that controls the strength of the smoothness constraint. Equation (2) is essentially equivalent to ones described in B. K. P. Horn and B. G. Schunck, "Determining optical flow", Artificial Intelligence, Vol. 17, pp. 185-203, 1981, and in A. Murat Tekalp, "Digital Video Processing", Prentice-Hall, 1995. ISBN 0131900757. Equation (2) is presented here only to explain the relation between correspondence and smoothness constraints and their role in motion estimation. In general it is not necessary to explicitly use two energy terms. For example, in Sergei V. Fogel, "The Estimation of Velocity Vector Fields from Time-

Varying Image-sequences", CVGIP: Image Understanding, Vol.

53, pp. 253-287, 1991, expression (2) was not used, but the

author operated directly with constraints that logically

contained correspondence and smoothness components. Equation

5   (2) and its alternatives may be solved using variety of

approaches, for example, by an iterative procedure,

minimizing total energy (2) for one vector $d(r)$ at a time, or

by forming a large system of nonlinear equations that

includes the whole array of displacement vectors from the

10   reference image.

In an embodiment conforming to the form of equation

(2), the smoothness component of an energy equation is as

follows:

15   (3) $E_s = \sum_{r0 \in \Re} \sum_{r1 \in \aleph(r0)} f_s(c(r0), c(r1),$
$v(r0), v(r1), d(r0), d(r1)),$

where $c(r)$ and $v(r)$ are functions that represent color and

color variation, respectively. The $c(r)$ and $v(r)$ functions

20   are vector-valued functions having as many components as

there are color channels in the image-sequence. The $c(r)$

function represents average color pixel value of the

reference image in a neighborhood of a site r; $v(r)$

represents variation of color in a neighborhood of r; and

$f(c0, c1, v0, v1, d0, d1)$ (using a shorthand notation, $c0$ representing $c(r0)$, $c1$ representing $c(r1)$, and so on) is a scalar function with the following properties:

5

- As $c0$ gets closer to $c1$, the closeness being measured by corresponding components of $v0$, $v1$, the sensitivity of f_s to small changes in $d0$ and $d1$ increases toward a maximum.

- As the difference between $c0$ and $c1$ significantly exceeds

10   corresponding components of both $v0$ and $v1$, f_s becomes less sensitive to changes in $d0$ and $d1$.

To implement the method, the single energy function (2) that includes both E_s and E_c is minimized. The total energy

15   includes inputs from all reference region displacements $d0$ (which is the outer sum in equation (3) and for every reference region with displacement $d0$, for all neighboring regions $d1$ (which is the inner sum in equation 3). Again, although the smoothness energy is referred to apart from the

20   correspondence energy, the two need not be separable components of a function to be minimized in calculating the displacement vector field. In this example embodiment,

however, the correspondence energy and smoothness energy form

a linear combination.

There are many ways to satisfy the above functional

requirements. One example is a preferred expression for

5   smoothness energy described below. Let each image in an

image-sequence be defined on $n_x * n_y$ rectangular grid and

have n_c channels. Images are divided into $n_b * n_b$ square

blocks $B(r)$, where r points to the center of the block. One

displacement vector $d(r)$ is calculated for each block. The

10 resulting set of displacement vectors $d(r)$ form a rectangular

grid $\mathscr{R}$.

Displacement vectors are calculated by minimizing a

total energy expressed as a sum of correspondence energy E_c

and smoothness energy E_s as in equation (2). Correspondence

15 energy E_c may be calculated as a sum of terms that describe

how well pixels in block $B(r)$ at r in the reference image

correspond to a group of pixels around $r + d(r)$ in the

target image. The total energy is calculated over all $r \in$

$\mathscr{R}$. The exact form of the correspondence energy component is

20 not essential to the practice of the present embodiment of

the invention where the focus is on the contribution of

smoothness constraint. Smoothness energy E_s is calculated

using equation (3), where $N(r)$ is a set of at most eight

blocks ("at most" for purposes of this illustrative example,

only) that are the nearest spatial neighbors of block r.

5   Functions $c(r)$ and $v(r)$ are vector-valued n_c-component

functions, each component $k = 1, \ldots, n_c$ calculated from

reference image data $i(x)$ within the block $B(r)$:

(4) $c_k(r) = (\sum_{x \in B(r)} i_k(x)) / n_b^2,$

10

(5) $v_k(r) = \mathbf{sqrt}((\sum_{x \in B(r)} (i_k(x) - c_k(r))^2)$

$/(n_b^2 - 1) + \sigma_k^2),$

where σ_k represents a background variation of the image data

15   $i_k(x)$ resulting from noise or grain.

Function f_s in (3) then has the following form:

(6) $f_s(c0, c1, v0, v1, d0, d1) =$

$\exp(-\sum_k (\max(0, (c0_k - c1_k)^2 /$

20   $(v0_k^2 + v1_k^2) - 1))^2 / 5) *$

$\prod_k (1 - (v0_k^2 - v1_k^2) /$

$$(v0_k^2 + v1_k^2))^2 * \prod_k (d0_k - d1_k)^2$$

Expression (6) satisfies both the requirements for f_s, as described above. An important feature of the smoothness

5 constraint function is that smoothness is encouraged only between blocks that have similar color patterns.

It will be evident to those skilled in the art that the invention is not limited to the details of the foregoing illustrative embodiments, and that the present invention may

10 be embodied in other specific forms without departing from the spirit or essential attributes thereof. The present embodiments are therefore to be considered in all respects as illustrative and not restrictive, the scope of the invention being indicated by the appended claims rather than by the

15 foregoing description, and all changes which come within the meaning and range of equivalency of the claims are therefore intended to be embraced therein.